1	Patrice L. Bishop (182256)	
2	service@ssbla.com STULL, STULL & BRODY	
	10940 Wilshire Boulevard	
3	Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90024	
4	Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087	
5		
6	Howard T. Longman TSVI@aol.com	
7	STULL, STULL & BRODY 6 East 45th Street	
	New York, NY 10017	
8	Tel: (212) 687-7230 Fax: (212) 490-2022	
9	Gary S. Graifman	
10	ggraifman@kgglaw.com	т
11	KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN 747 Chestnut Ridge Road	
12	Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977 Tel: (845) 356-2570	
13	Fax: (845) 356-4335	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		
15		
16	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION
19		
	MADEIN MOGEL A MENNEETH	GAGENO G00 02122 IE
20	MARTIN VOGEL and KENNETH MAHONEY, on Behalf of Themselves and All	CASE NO.: C08-03123-JF
21	Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION
23	v.	ORDER STAYING ACTION
24	APPLE, INC., STEVEN P. JOBS, FRED	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel
	ALLE, INC., STEVENT. JOBS, INCD	
/ 7	ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V.	Department: Ctrm. 3, 5th Floor
25	ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P.	Department: Ctrm. 3, 5th Floor
26	ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P. YORK,	Department: Ctrm. 3, 5th Floor
	ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P.	Department: Ctrm. 3, 5th Floor
26	ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P. YORK,	Department: Ctrm. 3, 5th Floor

1 Plaintiffs Martin Vogel and Kenneth Mahoney ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Apple 2 Inc. ("Apple"), Fred D. Anderson, Nancy R. Heinen, Steven P. Jobs, William V. Campbell, 3 Millard S. Drexler, Arthur D. Levinson, and Jerome B. York (collectively, the 4 "Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows: 5 WHEREAS, on August 24, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a class action complaint before this 6 Court alleging that certain defendants violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 7 "Exchange Act"), including § 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and § 20(a). That action was 8 entitled Martin Vogel and Kenneth Mahoney v. Steven Jobs, et al., Case No. 5:06-cv-05208-JF 9 (N.D. Cal.) (the "Apple Backdating Action No. 1"), and concerning alleged practice of issuing 10 backdating stock options; 11 WHEREAS, on October 24, 2006, New York City Employees' Retirement System 12 ("NYCERS") moved for their appointment as Lead Plaintiff of the Apple Backdating Action No. 13 1 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4; 14 WHEREAS, on January 19, 2007, this Court appointed NYCERS as Lead Plaintiff of that 15 litigation; 16 WHEREAS, on March 23, 2007, NYCERS filed, as Lead Plaintiff, its Consolidated 17 Complaint and asserted claims under §§ 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and the common 18 law duty of disclosure. The Consolidated Complaint did not assert any claims for Defendants' 19 alleged violations of §10(b) of the Exchange Act; 20 WHEREAS, on November 14, 2007, this Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss 21 the Consolidated Complaint on the ground, *inter alia*, that NYCERS failed to plead standing to 22 bring a direct claim ("Dismissal Order"); 23 WHEREAS, this Court granted NYCERS leave to amend its complaint but held that 24 NYCERS could only amend for the purpose of attempting to plead a derivative claim, not a 25 direct class action claim; 26 WHEREAS, on December 14, 2007, NYCERS filed a motion for leave to file a First 27 Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint that contained direct class action claims for alleged violations of § 10(b) of the Exchange Act; 28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION CASE NO.: 08-cv-03123-JF

1	
2	
_	

3

45

67

8

11

10

1213

1415

16

1718

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

WHEREAS, on May 14, 2008, this Court denied NYCERS's motion for leave to file an amended complaint ("Denial Order");

WHEREAS, on June 12, 2008, this Court entered Judgment for defendants ("Judgment");

WHEREAS, on June 17, 2008, NYCERS filed its Notice of Appeal of the Dismissal Order, the Denial Order and subsequent Judgment ("NYCERS's Appeal");

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed this action ("Apple Backdating Action No. 2") on June 27, 2008, alleging that Defendants violated § 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder and § 20(a) of the Exchange Act by, *inter alia*, issuing backdated stock options to themselves and other Apple employees;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that if this litigation were to go forward prior to resolution of NYCERS's appeal(s) of the Apple Backdating Action No. 1, there is a risk of duplicative litigation regarding Defendants' alleged backdating and alleged violations of the Exchange Act, and that this risk could result in a waste of judicial resources.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:

- 1. This action shall be stayed pending the resolution of NYCERS's Appeal (the "Resolution");
- 2. "Resolution" means the date upon which NYCERS's Appeal is finally resolved meaning either the Judgment has become final and is no longer subject to appeal, or the case has been remanded to this Court and the remand order has become final and is no longer subject to appeal;
- 3. As part of this stay, all of Plaintiffs' remaining obligations under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, will also be stayed pending the Resolution of NYCERS's Appeal;
- 4. All applicable time periods and deadlines from date of the entry of this Order to the time when the stay is lifted will toll.
- 5. By agreeing to this stipulation, Defendants expressly reserve and do not waive any defenses, arguments and motions as to all claims alleged in Apple Backdating Action No. 2.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	Dated: July 17, 2008 Patrice L. Bishop STULL, STULL & BRODY		
2			
3	By: /s/ Patrice L. Bishop		
4	Patrice L. Bishop 10940 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2300		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90024		
6	Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087		
7	Howard T. Longman		
8	STULL, STULL & BRODY 6 East 45th Street		
9	New York, NY 10017 Tel: (212) 687-7230		
10	Fax: (212) 490-2022		
11	Gary S. Graifman		
12	KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN 747 Chestnut Ridge Road		
13	Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977 Tel: (845) 356-2570		
14	Fax: (845) 356-4335		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16	I, Patrice L. Bishop, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
17	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Staying Action. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I		
18	hereby attest that the signatory below has concurred in this filing.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION CASE NO.: 08-cy-03123-JF		

1 2		George A. Riley Luann L. Simmons Robert D. Tronnes O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3		O MEEVEN CONTERS EE
4	By: _	/s/ Robert D. Tronnes
5		Robert D. Tronnes Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street
6		San Francisco, CA 94111
7		Tel: (415) 984-8700 Fax: (415) 984-8701
8		Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
9	Dated: July 17, 2008	Douglas R. Young C. Brandon Wisoff
10		Grace K. Won
11		Stephanie Skaff Sebastian A. Jerez
12		FARELLA, BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
13	Bv:	/s/ Douglas R. Young
14		Douglas R. Young 235 Montgomery Street
15		17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
		Tel: (415) 954-4400 Fax: (415) 954-4480
16		, ,
17 18		Attorneys for Defendants Steven Jobs, William V. Campbell, Millard S. Drexler, Arthur D. Levinson, and Jerome P. York
		and Jerome 1. Tork
19 20		Jerome C. Roth
21		Yohance C. Edwards Genevieve A. Cox
22		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
23		
24	By: <u>/</u>	/s/ Yohance C. Edwards Yohance C. Edwards
25		560 Mission Street 27th Floor
26		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 512-4000
27		Fax: (415) 512-4000
28		Attorneys for Defendant Fred D. Anderson 5
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING CASE NO.: 08-cv-03123-JF	

1	Dated: July 17, 2008 Sarah A. Good Jin H. Kim
2	Jason M. Habermeyer HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY
3	FALK & RABKIN
4	
5	By: /s/ Jin H. Kim
6	By: <u>/s/ Jin H. Kim</u> Jin H. Kim Three Embarcadero Center
7	Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
8	Tel: (415) 434-1600 Fax: (415) 217-5910
9	Attorneys for Defendant Nancy R. Heinen
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	6
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION CASE NO.: 08-cv-03123-JF

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

[I'ROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. This action shall be stayed pending the resolution of NYCERS's Appeal ("NYCERS's Appeal") of the Dismissal Order, the Denial Order and subsequent Judgment evidenced by the Notice of Appeal filed on June 17, 2008;
- 2. "Resolution" means the date upon which NYCERS's Appeal is finally resolved meaning either the Judgment has become final and is no longer subject to appeal, or the case has been remanded to this Court and the remand order has become final and is no longer subject to appeal;
- 3. As part of this stay, all of Plaintiffs' remaining obligations under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, will also be stayed pending the Resolution of NYCERS's Appeal;
- 4. All applicable time periods and deadlines from date of the entry of this Order to the time when the stay is lifted will toll.

7/22/08 Dated:

Honorable Jeremy

United States Distri t Court Judge